

Enterprise Commonality

Informational Brief

20 April 2010



Introduction

- Since Q1 2008, NAVSEA has piloted, developed and accelerated a Commonality program
- The Commonality program is designed to reduce TOC through both acquisition and lifecycle savings
- Each Commonality Deep Dive follows a structured five-step process that identifies and eliminates unnecessary variation
- Deep Dive teams develop bottoms-up enhanced TOC models to make Shelf recommendations
- A Virtual Shelf serves as a central serves, or recommendations for new acquisitions, modernizations and repairs

Topics for Discussion

- Overview of NAVSEA's Commonality Program and Path Forward
- Deep Dive Process
- TOC in Commonality Deep Dive Process
- Commonality TOC Results
- Commonality Virtual Shelf and Commodity Contracts



Mandate for Commonality



Non Standard HM&E Hardware

Average ILS Cost

NSN Maint

10%

Drives additional inefficiencies across Maritime sustainment processes

5

Tech

Manuals

36%

PM 7%

Provisioning

3%



Unique HM&E Varieties in the Fleet

- Masts & Kingposts 47
- Diesel Engine 187
- Gas Turbine Engine 30
- Reduction Gear 641
- Clutches & Couplings 1,113
- Shaftings 141
- Bearings 383
- Propulsors 125
- Rudder 34

- Motors 7,125
- Ship Service Generators 57
- Emergency Generators 53
- Frequency Converters 52
- Pumps 4,171
- Valves 37,709
- A/C units 123
- Distilling Plants 82
- Air Compressors 203

Need a New Valve Today?

More Commonality Will Reduce Total Ownership



Commonality reduces variation to drive measurable benefits while maintaining or improving product performance

Definition of Commonality

What Commonality is	What Commonality is NOT
 A reduction of many parts/systems to fewer 	 Is not intended to eliminate all variation (e.g. not necessarily reduce to one option, not single source)
 A critical examination of necessary variation 	 Does not sacrifice required performance, safety or required quality Does not necessarily apply to all levels
 An effort applied at the logical level of design 	of design – instead effort will be applied where it makes the most sense Is not intended to in any way impede
 An effort in part designed to reduce program risk 	 Does not impact every system or where there is no justification
 A validated approach justified with a business case 	

Commonality is an approach to engineering that accounts for program lifecycle



To date, Deep Dives have addressed 15 component types across 5 systems

NAVSEA Commonality Pilots Results Summary

		Varia	ant Reduction Op	portunity	Projected TOC Benefits ⁽³⁾		
System	Sub-Systems in Scope	Current # of Variants	# of Recommended Variants	% Reduction in Variants	TOC Benefits (\$M)	% TOC Benefits (% of Spend)	
Machinery Control Systems ⁽¹⁾	 Workstations PLC Cards VME Cards UPS Units 	• 24 • 63 • 58 • 18	• 8 • 31 • 14 • 5	 67% 51% 76% 72% 	\$59 M - \$80 M (over 7 years)	22% - 30%	
Fluid Systems ⁽¹⁾	 Centrifugal Pumps – Seawater and Freshwater 	• 240	• 116	• 52%	\$105 M (over 20 years)	11%	
Interior Communications (Voice Systems) ⁽¹⁾	 PBXs ICTs CAAS Telephone Terminals 	• 45 • 3 • 7 • 6	• 4 • 2 • 1 • 1	 91% 33% 86% 83% 	\$272 M (over 20 years)	14%	
Compressed Air Systems ⁽²⁾	 Air Compressors Reducing Manifolds 	• 37 • 291	• 7 • 112	• 81% • 62%	\$130 M (over 25 years)	23%	
Lighting and Generator Controls Systems	hting and ator Controls• Lighting Fixtures • Voltage Regulators • Governor Controls• 117 • 57 • 39 • 10• 57 • 22 • 95% • 10		49%95%90%	\$166 M (over 25 years)	11%		
		\$732 M - \$752 M 14%					
 Notes: (1) Subs not included in scope of analysis, due to limited availability of data, confidential specifications, or application constraints (2) Includes Surface Ships, Subs and Carriers (3) Benefits include savings from acquisition price and lifecycle costs (NRE, installation, maintenance, repair, operations, training, obsolescence, etc.) 							

Source: NAVSEA Commonality Deep Dives



The NAVSEA commonality instruction was signed 6 April 2009

NAVSEA Commonality Instruction Contents



- Policy
 - Establish a "Shelf" repository
 - Minimize variation in requirements and designs
 - Monitor programs and contracts for compliance, allowing competition

Responsibilities

- TWHs: act as coordinators of Shelf contents
- NAVSEA04: support TWH in managing Shelf
- SDMs: incorporate Shelf items into technical data package where feasible
- PMs: support use of Shelf in acquisition and sustainment processes
- COB: ensure Shelf processes/procedures are implemented

Procedures

• Explains Shelf procedures for



Working across the Navy, the team developed processes and policies that impact acquisition and modernization programs

Commonality Team and Program Design

Organizati on	Team Member
NAVSEA 00	
NAVSEA 02	
NAVSEA 04	
NAVSEA 05	
NAVSEA 08	
PEO Ships	
PEO Carriers	
PEO Subs	
PEO IWS	
PEO LMW	
NAVSUP	
Warfare Cntr.	

Acquisition Process

Commonality processes developed to impact acquisitions and modernizations







The NAVSEA Commonality program is based on the concept of a "Shelf" of standard engineering designs for program use

Shelf Commonality Concept Overview





NAVSEA plans to accelerate to 12 Deep Dives per year in order to address most ship systems in three years

Execution of Deep Dives - Overview

	FY 2009	9		FY 2	2010		FY 2011			FY 2012				
Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
5	Comp Air De	ressed ep Dive												
		Gen Se and Lig	t Contr hting D	ols Deep										
			Kio Co	ck-off a mmuni	nd cation									
			ecutio	n of Ac	celerat	ted De	ep Dive	<u> </u>			[— — -		_ <u> </u>	
Ramp Advar Prepa	up and nce ration		Fi	rst Wa D	ve of D ives	еер	Secon Dives	d Wave	e of De	ер	Third Dives	Wave	of Deej	



A Deep Dive project follows a rigorous five-step approach to identify commonality opportunities within a given system based on TOC Approach Summary - Commonality Deep Dive

1. Prioritize Components In	derstand urrent nponent ventory	p 4. Assess ity Performance o Alternatives	5. Develop Implementatio of n Plan and Forecast Results			
Where Should How We Feeus?	Many Do How Many I e Have? We Need?	o Which are the Best? Why?	What is it Worth?			
Questions	Detailed Questions					
Where Should We focus?	 What sub-systems or components have the highest costs? have the highest existing proliferation? could be standardized and not increase risk? 					
How Many Do We Have?	 How many different types exist? How many of the different variations are installed across the fleet? 					
How Many Do We Need?	 How many serve the same fit, form, function? What attributes create unnecessary variation for a component? 					
Which are Best? Why?	 What common specifications will satisfy all necessary requirements? What are the most important requirements? 					
What Is It worth?	 What is the value of the benefits that normalized components will drive? How can we capture identified value in implementing commonality? 					



Our comprehensive bottoms-up TOC models have allowed effective trade-off evaluations and provided insight into cost drivers

Select Navy Equipment TOC Examples from A.T. Kearney Experience





Source: A.T. Kearney Analysis from NAVSEA Commonality Deep Dives and PEO IWS LCS Common Combat System Study





In driving TOC savings, Deep Dives identify *both* acquisition and lifecycle cost savings through Commonality

Estimated Acquisition and Lifecycle Savings Over Installed Life of Equipment (\$ M)⁽³⁾



Lifecycle Savings Drivers

- •Reduced maintenance and repair
- •Reduced ILS (training, tech. manuals, provisioning)
- Reduced obsolescence

Acquisition Savings Drivers

- Reduced NRE
- •Reduced acquisition cost (purchase price)
- Reduced installation

- Notes: (1) Subs not included in scope of analysis, due to limited availability of data, confidential specifications, or application constraints (2) Includes Surface Ships, Subs and Carriers (3) Popofite include cavings from acquisition price and lifecycle costs (NPE installation, maintenance, repair, operations, training
 - (3) Benefits include savings from acquisition price and lifecycle costs (NRE, installation, maintenance, repair, operations, training, obsolescence, etc.)
- Source: NAVSEA Commonality Deep Dives





Savings beyond existing commonality savings are possible through improved sourcing

Reducing Manifolds Potential Acquisition Savings - Commonality and Sourcing (\$ M, 2009 Constant USD) \$218.0 \$218.0 \$198.4 Variation Reduction Improved Cost Analysis and Sourcing

Baseline Recommended Best Practice Acquisition Shelf Acquisition Acquisition Spend Spend Spend Current Cost of Cost of Shelf Potential Cost of Manifolds to the Manifolds Manifolds to the Navy Navy

- Commercially-accepted cost driver analysis piloted
- "Should" Cost vice Actual Cost

- Air reducing manifolds

- Similar analysis used for F-22, F35 Aircraft Programs
- Analysis provides a fact-based understanding of cost drivers allowing the Navy to negotiate for the "best" price

Note: (1) Estimate based on best practice manifold acquisition spend - determined from linear price performance (multivariable regression) and historical NAVSEA spend





TWHs will populate the Shelf with the information SDMs and contractors would need to use prescreened Shelf equipment

Type of Information on Shelf

For each Shelf sub-system / component, the Shelf contains...

•Engineering descriptions of shelf items – to enable integration of shelf items into standard architectures

•Specifications for shelf items – to facilitate procurement of shelf items

•Design Handbooks - for integrating shelf components using standard architectures

•Design Standards - describing requirements for integrated shelf systems

•Total Ownership Cost Data and Business Case Analysis templates - to support developing the Business Case Analysis for deviations

•A Shelf Roadmap - indicating the expected lifespan of each element on the shelf

TWHs must be come more proactive in applying the shelf. Metrics we will use are new APL's generated in their area of responsibility



As the Shelf develops, commodity contracts the team will develop commodity contracts for select sub-systems and components

Linking Commonality to Commodity Contracts

